



UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | TORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------|---------------|-------------------|
| 08/991,62 | 8 11/05/9 | 77 STOMINGER | | J | HAR-001DV |
| - HM22/0308 □ | | | \neg | EXAMINER | |
| MICHAEL J TWOMEY TESTA HURWITZ & THIBEAULT | | | | CUNNINGHAM, T | |
| HIGH STREET TOWER | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 125 HIGH STREET | | | • | 1644 | |
| BOSTON MA | 02210 | | | DATE MAILED: | <i>0</i> |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/991,628

Applicant(s)

Stominger, J. L.

Examiner

Thomas Cunningham

Group Art Unit 1644



\

| ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 16, 1998</u> | • | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | | | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19 | | | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a). | e to respond within the period for response will cause the | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| X Claim(s) 3-19, 22, and 26-29 | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | |
| X Claims 3-19, 22, and 26-29 | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| ☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw | ing Review, PTO-948. | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection | ected to by the Examiner. | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is approved disapproved. | | |
| $\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| $\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | · | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit | y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies | of the priority documents have been | | |
| received. | | | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N | umber) | | |
| \square received in this national stage application from the | ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | | | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price | ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | · | | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper | No(s) | | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | , | | |
| ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | <u> </u> | | |
| - Notice of informal Latent Application, 1 10-132 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1644

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 3-19 and 22, drawn to compositions comprising peptides, classified in class 424, subclass 185.1.
 - II. Claims 26-29, drawn to a method of identifying foreign antigens (no specific physically definite steps recited, e.g. contacting HLA-DR with an antibody or peptide), classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to compositions comprising peptides (Group I) and to a method having no physically definite steps (Group II) that does not appear to have any relationship to the peptides of Group I. If the "peptide sequences" of step (5) of claim 26 are intended to be the same as the peptides used in the compositions of Group I, then they are distinct as the same peptides could be identified by alternative means, such as by elution from HLA-DR molecules or fragmentation of known antigens or by direct synthesis. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the

Page 3

reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Claims 3-19, 22, and 26-29 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

For Group I: peptides with materially different sequences, e.g. SEQ ID NO: 1, 2, etc. Applicant is required to elect one structurally defined species of peptide, e.g. SEQ ID NO: 1.

For Group II: methods involving different HLA-DR molecules, different binding pockets, different sequence motifs and different antigens. Applicant is required to elect a species of method and define each relevant parameter, e.g. type of HLA-DR molecule, identify the first major binding pocket and the second major binding pocket, the sequence motif and the identity of the antigen. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 08/991,628

Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thomas Cunningham whose telephone number is (703) 308-3968.

THOMAS M. CURNINGHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 1800**

March 4, 1999 '